Honorable Judge Karen B. Owens
United States Bankruptcy Court
District of Delaware
824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
USA

RECEIVED

2025 JUL 10 P 12: 29

CLERK
US BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Re: Objection to the Designation of China as a "Restricted Foreign Jurisdiction"

In re: FTX Trading Ltd., et al., Case No. 22-11068 (KBO)

Dear Judge Owens:

OBJECTION TO MOTION OF FTX RECOVERY TRUST TO IMPLEMENT RESTRICTED JURISDICTION PROCEDURES

Submitted by: Lingjian Kong, Tax Resident of China, and Representative of Chinese FTX Creditors

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

I have a KYC-verified FTX account with a total debt of over 300,000 US dollars. The account unique customer code is 02339534. I have fully met all the requirements of the previous bankruptcy procedures. FTX has made two distributions, but did not distribute to users in the Chinese region, and there was no any explanation. But now, suddenly, a dictatorial and unfair motion has been proposed that may deprive the right to distribution. I believe this involves serious discriminatory deprivation behavior.

GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION:

1. The trust's approach is unfair and arbitrary

In the worst-case scenario, the motion would deprive creditors of our right to receive distributions. This motion attempts to impose an overly broad and unreasonable system based on speculative and unsubstantiated legal risks. Moreover, this process is arbitrary and there is no participation of the creditors. Why didn't the trustee propose to conduct a compliance investigation with local lawyers before the initial distribution,

but instead raised this issue after two distributions, does this mean discriminatory treatment? The proposed restricted jurisdiction procedure has procedural flaws, exceeds the defined scope of the plan, and violates the fundamental principles of fair and equal treatment in bankruptcy.

2. The compliance risk assessment was insufficient, and trust can seek more alternative approaches.

The reason why the trust filed this motion was that some of the creditors' jurisdictions still had laws and regulations restricting cryptocurrency transactions. Allocating to these regions might involve legal risks. However, the FTX debts were denominated and settled in US dollars, and the nature of the debts was US dollars, which could be fully distributed using legal currency. Although China has foreign exchange controls, holding US dollars is compliant, and there are many channels for Chinese residents to receive them. In the Celsius Network LLC case, the bankruptcy court successfully distributed US dollars to Chinese creditors through international wire transfer. [1] The trust did not provide any case to prove that the recipients of the bankruptcy distribution had been punished. Moreover, even for cryptocurrencies, China fully recognizes that they belong to personal legal property, and there are many relevant cases to prove this. [2][3] There are many precedents that can be found on the China Judgments Online website. For example, (2019) Zhejiang 0192 Civil No. 1626. At the same time, creditors could sign a disclaimer to avoid risks, but the trust did not propose seeking other possibilities in the motion, instead proposed to deprive the distribution rights as the solution.

3. Insufficient notification procedure

As far as I know, no creditor from any Chinese region received the notice of this motion. The motion involves the deprivation of creditors' rights, but it did not notify the affected creditors, only a public announcement was made. In the worst-case scenario, I believe many creditors will have their property deprived without even knowing about it.

CONCLUSION:

The creditor's claim is considered as personal property. Depriving personal property on the grounds of compliance is unreasonable and illegal. The Chinese judicial jurisdiction mainly restricts the operation of cryptocurrency exchanges, rather than the repayment of individual creditor claims. The trust should seek alternative solutions instead of depriving the creditors of our property. I request the court to reject the

motion and require the trust to modify its restrictive measures and evaluate more alternative solutions.

Respectfully submitted,

Name:

Lingjian Kong

Customer code:

02339534

Adress:

Room 2302, Building 5

1111 Huimin Road

Lucheng District

Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325000

China

Email:

Mrseasonk@gmail.com

July 06, 2025

Signature:

36/2/27 Lingjian Kong

Dated: July 06, 2025

Appendix A: Reference Links

- [1] https://www.nysb.uscourts.gov/content/re-22-10964-mg-celsius-network-llc-21
- [2] https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2018/10/26/chinese-court-rules-bitcoin-should-be -protected-as-property
- [3] https://news.bitcoin.com/chinese-court-ethereum-legal

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lingjian Kong, hereby certify that on July 5, 2025, I caused a copy of the foregoing Objection to the Motion of the FTX Recovery Trust to Implement Restricted Jurisdiction Procedures to be served via electronic mail upon the following parties:

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

- James L. Bromley bromleyj@sullcrom.com
- Alexa J. Kranzley kranzleya@sullcrom.com
- Andrew G. Dietderich dietdericha@sullcrom.com
- Brian D. Glueckstein gluecksteinb@sullcrom.com

Landis Rath & Cobb LLP

- Adam G. Landis landis@lrclaw.com
- Kimberly A. Brown brown@lrclaw.com
- Matthew R. Pierce pierce@lrclaw.com

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: July 6, 2025

China

36/2/31 Ling Jian Kong

July 7, 2025

Mrseasonk agmail.com

From: LingJian Kong
No.23, Building 5, 1111 Huimin Road
Lucheng District, Wenzhou City
2 hejiang Province. China
325000

脚

序

票

To: United States Bankruptey Court
Attn: Clerk of the Court
824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor
Re: Objection to FTX Recovery Trusts
Motion to Implement Restricted Jurisdition
Procedures — Case No. 22-10 68 (KBO)

B 皮缝定:

栞

EE

밂

来诗画浙江 品何以中国

学なる子子のの十年、一多年 我品因沒有命使嫌不免宣此和 本指本数日後二時直人路內 罗日財軍俸妻庭婚施法我七 日書作也追人具本己体要在必得乃己 正為将来之官以善持事一難思是 之大走去被出了三利之势必指南途 位都是斯林小都強且過減不同樣 法成为明任李松康三爷祖秦沙 具情持企安神之失而非而後母門 你防衛力在投東去指令上北北 又人流成強賊母之為空相五成金 四出版例與三月千號情四年數 夫人不姓名萬福村心為殿男自由月私 朝母妻大人 御人至去鄉門用其審心

父親大人孫下失不情信心切要、同者

南朝湖男上官司持書上





现藏于余姚博物馆 王阳明寓赣州上海日翁手札卷

以王阳明的学说及其

阳明文化源于明代哲学家王阳明

・文化基因激活工程・

阳明交化

来诗画浙江 品何以中国

浙江省文化广电和旅游厅 联合出

밂

如子 はるかるのの十分、一多年 老品用法看官便嫌不先宣共和 本指本数日俊二将追人縣內 男母城案、俸妻皇、疾病は我と 日書明也達人具本之体要在必得乃也 正易将来之屋况多将李月朝慢是 又大走去被去了看以利之替必指都逐 位都是城北小都強且過城外囚從 法成为明任李從處三本祖屋次 果情扶企去神之矣而即而後者則 你告前官力在投東本指令上北州 又人流在強賊聯己在空難出 四出征州殿三月千姓伯四军都 大人不是在礼福村心虽在男月日日 朝母妻大人 御人至母问情真富小 父親文人孫下文不得信心切妻、同名 官預州男王官司丹書上







